

REMARKS

Claim 1 was rejected as being anticipated by Thompson.

Claim 1 has been amended to further define the bezel as having a recessed center section around the circumference of the exterior wall. Since Thompson does not have this feature the claim is now not anticipated by Thompson. Further the claim was amended to show the bezel has an upper and lower portion with the band attached at one end to the lower portion, the other end of the band has a hook which engages the recessed center portion of the exterior wall of the bezel. The band in Thompson does not have these features and is not connected to the bezel in this manner. Therefore it is believed claim 1 is allowable.

With independent claim 1 allowable it is believed that dependent claims 2-6 are also allowable.

The examiner stated claim 7 was obvious over Thompson in view of Guild.

Claim 7 has been amended in the same manner as claim 1 to claim the feature of a bezel with a recessed center portion around the circumference of the exterior wall and a band attached at one end to the bezel below the recessed center portion and a hook on the other end of the band to engage the a

recessed center portion. Since these features are not found in the prior art of Thompson combined with Guild claim 7 appears to be allowable.

With claim 7 allowable the dependent claims 8 and 9 are also allowable.